Trauma Surgery & Acute Care Open

Patency of arterial repairs from wartime extremity vascular injuries

Lauren J Haney,^{1,2} Esther Bae,^{2,3} Mary Jo V Pugh,^{4,5} Laurel A Copeland,^{6,7} Chen-Pin Wang,^{2,8} Daniel J MacCarthy,^{2,8} Megan E Amuan,⁴ Paula K Shireman ⁽⁶⁾ ^{3,9}

► Additional material is published online only. To view please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/ tsaco-2020-000616).

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to Dr Paula K Shireman; shireman@uthscsa.edu

Two abstracts describing the (1) 527 Veteran cohort and the (2) cohort with patency data were accepted at the 2020 Vascular Annual Meeting of the Society for Vascular Surgery, 17–20 June 2020, in Toronto, Ontario, Canada (not presented due to COVID-19).

Received 1 October 2020 Revised 20 November 2020 Accepted 5 December 2020

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2021. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

To cite: Haney LJ, Bae E, Pugh MJV, et al. Trauma Surg Acute Care Open 2020;5:e000616.

ABSTRACT

Background Extremity vascular injury (EVI) causes significant disability in Veterans of the Afghanistan/Iraq conflicts. Advancements in acute trauma care improved survival and decreased amputations. The study of wartime EVI has relied on successful limb salvage as a surrogate for vascular repair. We used imaging studies as a specific measure of arterial repair durability.

Methods Service members with EVI were identified using the Department of Defense Trauma Registry and validated by chart abstraction. Inclusion criteria for the arterial patency subgroup included an initial repair attempt with subsequent imaging reports (duplex ultrasound, CT angiography, and angiogram) documenting initial patency.

Results The cohort of 527 included 140 Veterans with available imaging studies for 143 arterial repairs; median follow-up from injury time to last available imaging study was 19 months (01–03: 3–58; range: 1–175). Injury mechanism was predominantly explosions (52%) and gunshot wounds (42%). Of the 143 arterial repairs, 81% were vein grafts. Eight repairs were occluded, replaced or included in extremity amputations. One upper extremity and three transtibial late amputations were performed for chronic pain and poor function averaging 27 months (SD: 4; range: 24-32). Kaplan-Meier analysis estimated patency rates of 99%, 97%, 95%, 91% and 91% at 3, 6, 12, 24, and 36 months, respectively, with similar results for upper and lower extremity repairs. Explosive and gunshot wound injury mechanisms had similar patency rates and upper extremity injuries repaired with vein grafts had increased patency.

Conclusions Arterial repair mid-term patency in combat-related extremity injuries is excellent based on imaging studies for 143 repairs. Assertive attempts at acute limb salvage and vascular repair are justified with decisions for amputation versus limb salvage based on the overall condition of the patient and degree of concomitant nerve, orthopedic and soft tissue injuries rather than the presence of arterial injuries. **Level of evidence** Therapeutic/care management, level IV.

The increasing prevalence of combat-related extremity vascular injuries (EVI) in service members in the Afghanistan and Iraq wars¹ poses a significant challenge due to the complex, long-term health-care needs of these Veterans.^{2–5} Injury severity has increased secondary to the predominance of explosive injuries.^{4 6 7} Improvements in battlefield care improved survival in Operation Enduring Freedom/

BACKGROUND

Operation Iraqi Freedom/Operation New Dawn (OEF/OIF/OND) over the course of the wars.⁸ ⁹ While multiple reports document the acute care and describe limb salvage rates of patients with EVI in civilian¹⁰⁻¹⁹ and combat¹ ¹⁰ ²⁰⁻²⁵ settings, no reports include long-term patency of EVI repairs.

Original research

Early studies of long-term graft patency after civilian EVI either did not specify method of patency determination or used physical examination and wrist/ankle-brachial indices to assess patency.¹⁶ ¹⁸ ^{26–31} Recent studies³² ³³ using duplex ultrasound reported upper (97% to 97.6%) and lower (98.3%) extremity patency at a median follow-up of 64³² and 72³³ months. Both studies excluded early graft failures, amputations and inpatient mortality from the long-term patency results. Civilian studies more commonly report long-term limb salvage after EVI rather than arterial repair patency. However, functional outcomes of claudication and the ability to participate in vigorous physical activity in addition to limb salvage may be especially important in younger trauma patient population after EVI. Upper limb salvage rates more than 1 year after injury ranged from 86% to 100%, primarily after blunt or penetrating trauma.¹⁶ ¹⁸ ²⁷ ^{30–32} ³⁴ Long-term lower limb salvage rates, excluding early amputations, ranged from 98% to 100%.^{27 33}

Studies of EVI in OEF/OIF/OND service members reported long-term lower limb salvage rates of 74% to 82.7%^{3 4} with higher rates of limb salvage after gunshot compared with explosive injuries.⁴ Among previous OEF/OIF/OND studies, early upper extremity amputation rates ranged from 9.3% to 12.1%.^{24 35} Late amputations, defined as >30 days after injury, occurred in 6.4% of lower extremities.³ In contrast to civilian EVI, explosive injuries predominated in OEF/OIF/OND. While these studies report the durability of limb salvage after combat-related EVI, all previous reports relied on successful limb salvage rather than assessing arterial repair patency. A recent RAND review of limb salvage and recovery after blast injury concluded that there was very limited research on blastrelated injuries to the extremities and subsequent limb salvage.³⁶ Mid-term and long-term patency for combat-related EVI repairs is unknown and may be an important outcome beyond limb salvage for this younger patient cohort likely wishing to return to more demanding physical activity levels.

To address this knowledge gap, the VA Vascular Injury Study (VAVIS)^{37 38} identified service members with EVI and used imaging studies to determine patency of arterial repairs in upper and lower extremities after combat-related traumatic injury. Given the predominance of explosive injuries in combat trauma and the decreased lower limb salvage rates of explosive compared with gunshot wounds,⁴ our working hypotheses were (1) lower extremity arterial repair patency would be decreased after combat-related explosive compared with gunshot injuries, and (2) arterial repair patency would be decreased in combat versus civilian populations. This study characterizes the anatomic distribution of EVI in a wartime cohort and is one of the first to use imaging study reports to quantify the durability of arterial repairs after combat-related EVI compared with civilian samples.

METHODS

Data sources and sample

Our cohort included OEF/OIF/OND service members receiving Veterans Health Administration (VHA) care (Fiscal Year 2002-2014) with EVI who underwent an initial attempt at limb salvage. Participants were identified using the Department of Defense Trauma Registry (DoDTR; formerly Joint Theater Trauma Registry),³⁹ injuries were validated using chart abstraction, and data merged with VHA data. Chart abstraction was completed in August 2018 serving as the end date for additional imaging studies. EVIs treated with amputation during the initial surgery were excluded as operative reports detailing the injuries were not uniformly available for review. Injury Severity Score/Abbreviated Injury Scale (ISS/AIS),^{40 41} mechanism of injury, injury type, and date of injury (month/year) were derived from the DoDTR; location of injury (upper/lower extremity, venous/arterial) and method of repair were abstracted. Repairs were categorized as vein or prosthetic grafts, vein or prosthetic patches, primary repairs, stents or unknown when information was insufficient.

Primary outcome

Arterial and venous repair patency was assessed from duplex ultrasound, CT angiography (CTA), MR angiography (MRA) and angiogram reports from DoD and VHA available for a subset of the cohort; imaging studies were not available for review. Imaging reports were reviewed by general surgery resident or vascular surgery fellow and confirmed by a board-certified vascular surgeon to determine repair patency, location of injury and repair type. Imaging report results were included when the graft or area of repair was specifically stated to be either patent or occluded, equivocal reports were excluded. Time-to-repair and graft occlusion was defined as an imaging study or clinical note documenting thrombosis, replacement of a graft or the area of arterial repair being included in an amputation. Health records for procedures and tests occurring within combat zones were incomplete and limited to month and year. Therefore, arterial repairs were included when imaging studies documented initial patency; the patency analysis excludes acute repair occlusions, amputations and repairs performed in patients with mortality during the acute treatment phase. We used the following periods to define follow-up: short term encompasses the first 30 days to 6 months of follow-up; *mid-term* refers to 6 months to 5 years; long term denotes more than 5 years.⁴²

Initial, short-term placement of prosthetic grafts with subsequent revision to a vein graft was defined as a temporizing procedure, and the patency of the vein graft was reported.⁴³ Imaging studies documenting repair patency, even if stenoses or other issues were identified, were defined as patent. Overall patency was reported since primary, primary-assisted or secondary status could not be consistently determined. Dates were recorded as

only month and year; therefore, late amputations were defined as occurring >3 months after injury.

Statistical analysis

We described the VAVIS cohort using frequencies (percent) for categorical variables and means (SD) or medians (quartile 1 to quartile 3) for continuous variables. Two-sample t-test or Kruskal-Wallis test was used among patients with arterial injury to compare continuous variables for those with and without patency data; γ^2 test and Fisher's exact test compared categorical variables. Distributions (frequency) of injury characteristics were summarized for EVI in the 527-person VAVIS cohort.

Time to patency and arterial injury repair data were summarized for upper and lower repairs among 140 patients with patency data. Separate Kaplan-Meier estimates were obtained to characterize patency rates for upper and lower extremity arterial repairs. Log-rank tests compared patency rates between upper and lower extremity repairs and injury mechanism. Cox regression models estimated the patency rate in association with repair type, injury mechanism and upper versus lower extremity injuries. Patency data from the Medical University of Innsbruck in civilian trauma patients^{32 33} were compared with comparable descriptive statistics from appropriate VAVIS subgroups using Stata immediate tests (StataCorp, College Station, Texas).

RESULTS

VAVIS cohort

The 527 service members with EVI and an initial attempt at limb salvage (table 1) had a median age at time of injury of 23 (Q1–Q3: 21–27, range: 18–56 years) and >98% were male. The distribution of race was 82% White, 6% African American, 3% Asian and 10% Hispanic. Explosive injury mechanism was most common (63%), with gunshot wounds accounting for 32%; 73% were penetrating versus 27% blunt injuries. The ISS median was 13.0 (Q1-Q3: 10-21, range: 1.0-59.0) comprising 60% minor, 25% moderate, and 15% severe injuries. AIS extremities included 12% moderate—2, 67% serious—3, and 17% severe—4.

The service members experienced 741 vascular injuries (table 2): 317 arterial and 140 venous lower extremity injuries, and 246 arterial and 38 venous upper extremity injuries. Brachial (43%), radial (24%), and ulnar (21%) arteries and brachial (37%) veins were most frequently injured in the upper extremity. Superficial femoral (29%), tibial (25%), and popliteal (25%) arteries and superficial femoral (28%) and popliteal (23%) veins were the most common lower extremity injuries. All 527 service members were alive on discharge from the service with >99% surviving 5 years after injury. Forty percent were diagnosed with traumatic brain injury (TBI) within 5 years of injury including 14% with moderate, severe or penetrating TBI.

Subset of VAVIS cohort with patency data

From the VAVIS cohort of 527 patients, 37 had venous-only injuries and 490 had arterial injuries. Imaging studies to assess patency were available for 143 arterial repairs in 140 service members (figure 1). Forty-eight Veterans had an arterial repair in one arm, 89 in one leg, and three in bilateral legs. The 140 service members had a median age at time of injury of 23 years (Q1–Q3: 21–28, range: 18–56 years) with an ISS median 14.0 (Q1-Q3: 10-22, range: 4-50) and AIS extremities distribution of 7% moderate-2, 65% serious-3, and 28% severe-4. Characteristics of the 140 patients with arterial and the 350 patients without arterial imaging studies were similar, except that ISS (p=0.04), AIS extremities (p<0.001), VHA-rated disability and

Table 1 Characteristics of VAVIS cohort and service members with arterial injuries with and without patency data						
		Subset with arterial injuries (490)				
	VAVIS cohort	Patency data	No patency data	P value		
n (%)	527 (100)	140 (29)	350 (71)			
Age at injury, median (Q1–Q3)	23 (21–27)	23 (21–28)	23 (21–27)	NS		
Education				NS		
High school	445 (84)	115 (82)	301 (86)			
Some college	66 (13)	21 (15)	37 (11)			
Other	16 (3)	X (<3)	12 (3.0)			
Military operation				NS		
OEF	175 (33)	54 (39)	106 (30)			
OIF/OND	348 (66)	85 (61)	241 (69)			
Military service				NS		
Army	357 (68)	90 (64)	239 (68)			
Marines	149 (28)	43 (31)	100 (29)			
Air Force/Navy/Coast Guard	21 (4)	X (5)	11 (3)			
Service rank—enlisted	503 (95)	131 (94)	338 (97)	NS		
AIS extremities				<0.001		
Minor (1)	17 (3)	0	14 (4)			
Moderate (2)	64 (12)	10 (7)	46 (13)			
Serious (3)	352 (67)	91 (65)	236 (67)			
Severe (4)	89 (17)	39 (28)	49 (14)			
Critical (5)	5 (1)	0	X (<2)			
ISS composite, median (Q1–Q3)	13 (10–21)	14 (10–22)	12.5 (10–20)	0.04		
Minor (1–15)	314 (60)	73 (52)	221 (63)			
Moderate (16–25)	132 (25)	46 (33)	75 (21)			
Severe (26–50)	80 (15)	21 (15)	53 (15)			
Critical (51–75)	X (<1)	0	X (<1)			
Mechanism of injury				<0.03		
Explosive	331 (63)	73 (52)	232 (66)			
Gunshot wound	168 (32)	59 (42)	99 (28)			
Other	28 (5)	8 (6)	19 (5)			
Discharge disability*	343 (65)	97 (69)	225 (64)	NS		
%VA disability, median (Q1–Q3)	90 (80–100)	100 (80–100)	90 (80–100)	<0.05		

*Service members discharged due to disability.

AIS, Abbreviated Injury Scale; ISS, Injury Severity Score; NS, not significant; OEF, Operation Enduring Freedom; OIF, Operation Iraqi Freedom; OND, Operation New Dawn; Q1–Q3, first and third quartiles; VA, Veterans Affairs; VAVIS, VA Vascular Injury Study.

gunshot wound mechanism of injury were higher in patients with imaging studies.

The estimated patency rates were 99%, 97%, 95%, 91% and 91% at 3, 6, 12, 24, and 36 months, respectively, with similar results for upper and lower extremity repairs (figure 2A–C); median follow-up was 19 months (Q1–Q3: 3–58; range: 1–175). Concomitant vein, nerve and bone injuries were common (table 3). Vein grafts constituted 82% of the repairs and had a similar estimated patency of 93% compared with 81% for all other repairs. Predominant injury mechanisms were explosive (52%) and gunshot (42%) with similar estimated patency rates (figure 3A; 93% and 89%).

Upper extremity arterial patency cohort

Forty-eight arterial repairs were performed in the upper extremities (table 3) consisting of 43 vein grafts with one each of a vein patch, prosthetic graft and stent, and two unknown. Imaging modalities of the last report used to determine arterial repair patency or occlusion included 42 duplex, 5 CTA and 1 angiogram. Occlusions occurred in three upper extremity arterial repairs with concomitant nerve, but not venous injuries, from gunshot wounds: (1) brachial artery vein graft repair injury with fasciotomy was emergently replaced 3 months after the initial procedure, (2) a subclavian/axillary artery covered stent required several endovascular interventions with duplex findings of in-stent stenosis and occluded 31 months after initial placement, and (3) a brachial artery prosthetic graft repair was patent at 5 months and occluded at 8 months. One late arm amputation occurred 24 months after an open humerus fracture and nerve injuries with a patent brachial artery vein graft repair at 22 months after injury; graft patency at the time of amputation was undocumented.

Upper extremity arterial repair estimated patency was 92% with median follow-up of 18 months (Q1–Q3: 5–58; table 3 and figure 2B). Concomitant vein injuries occurred in 13% of the arterial injured upper extremities; 50% were treated by ligation. Nerve injuries (63%) were more common than bone fractures (31%). Vein grafts constituted 90% of the repairs and had a patency of 97% compared with 33% for all other repairs (p<0.001; only five repairs were in this group). Predominant

Table 2 Distribution of upper and lower extremity vascular injuries in VAVIS cohort of 527 service members Upper extremity vascular injuries Arterial injuries Venous injuries Veterans (n) 227 Veterans (n) 35 Extremity affected (n) Extremity affected (n) Left 132 Left 18 Right 114 Right 20 Left only 119 Left only 15 **Right only** 106 **Right only** 19 Bilateral 2 Bilateral 1 Artery injured (n) Vein injured (n) Subclavian 2 Subclavian 1 15 9 Axillary Axillary Brachial 106 Brachial 14 Radial 58 Radial 1 Ulnar 51 Ulnar 3 Hand 1 Superficial 7 Unspecified 13 Unspecified 3 Total arteries 246 Total veins 38 Lower extremity vascular injuries Arterial injuries Venous injuries Veterans (n) 278 Veterans (n) 119 Extremity affected (n) Extremity affected (n) Left 162 Left 71 Right 155 Right 69 Left only 134 Left only 55 **Right only** 131 **Right only** 58 Bilateral Bilateral 13 6 Vein injured (n) Artery injured (n) Common femoral 11 External iliac 2 Profunda femoral 9 Common femoral 14 93 Profunda femoral Superficial femoral 4 Superficial femoral Femoral branch 2 39 Femoral unspecified 24 Femoral branch 1 78 Femoral unspecified Popliteal 5 79 Tibial/peroneal Popliteal 32 Sural Tibial/peroneal 1 21 Dorsalis pedis Medial plantar 2 1

VAVIS, VA Vascular Injury Study.

Medial plantar

Unspecified

Total arteries

injury mechanisms were gunshot (48%) and explosive (44%) with similar estimated patency rates of 85% and 100%, respectively (figure 3B).

1

17

317

Saphenous

Unspecified

Total veins

12

9

140

Lower extremity arterial patency cohort

Of the 143 arterial repairs, 95 were performed in the lower extremities including 73 vein grafts, 2 vein patches, 4 prosthetic grafts, 4 primary repairs and 12 unknown. Imaging modalities of the last report used to determine arterial repair patency or occlusion included 76 duplex, 12 CTA, 5 angiogram and 2 MRA. Occlusions occurred in five lower extremity arterial repairs: (1) a common femoral artery prosthetic



Figure 1 Patient cohort for extremity arterial repair patency data. The diagram describes the numbers of arterial repairs and reasons for exclusions for extremity vascular injuries (EVI). We identified 741 EVIs in 527 Veterans using the Department of Defense (DoD) Trauma Registry with an initial attempt at limb salvage and confirmed by chart abstraction. Venous injuries accounted for 178 of the EVIs, 37 Veterans had venous-only injuries. Of the 563 arterial injuries in 490 Veterans, only 143 arterial repairs had available imaging studies in 140 Veterans. LE, lower extremity.

graft was replaced by a vein graft at 12 months secondary to stenosis, (2) a posterior tibial artery venous interposition graft was patent at 12 months; the patient underwent a transtibial amputation at 24 months for malalignment of tibia/fibula fractures, (3) a superficial femoral-to-popliteal artery vein graft occluded at 8 months and a subsequent vein bypass was patent at 54 months, (4) a transfemoral amputation for muscle necrosis with a patent interposition popliteal artery vein graft, venous injuries and fasciotomies, the month after injury, and (5) a popliteal artery interposition vein graft and fasciotomies had a stenosis identified by duplex at 23 months; subsequent angiogram showed occlusion.

Lower extremity estimated arterial repair patency was 91% with median follow-up of 20 months (Q1–Q3: 3–57; table 3 and figure 2C). Concomitant vein injuries occurred in 28% of the arterial injured lower extremities and 44% were treated by ligation; bone fractures (39%) were more common than nerve injuries (24%). Vein grafts constituted 77% of the repairs with an estimated patency of 91% and 92% for all other repairs. The predominant injury mechanisms were explosive (57%) and gunshot (39%), both with patency rates of 91% (figure 3C).



Figure 2 Patency for all repairs and vein graft versus other repairs in extremity arterial injury. Kaplan-Meier curves of arterial injury repairs for (A) combined upper and lower extremities, (B) upper extremity, and (C) lower extremity. Log-rank test p<0.001 for vein graft repairs versus other repairs in the upper extremity and p=0.004 other repairs in upper versus lower extremities. Similar patency rates for upper versus lower extremity and vein graft (VG) repairs as well as lower extremity vein graft versus other repairs.

Patency of venous repairs was available for 10 lower extremity venous injuries using 4 vein grafts, 1 vein patch, 2 primary repairs and 3 unknown repairs. Mechanism of injury was 70% explosive and 30% gunshot. Repaired injuries were present in the femoral (40%), superficial femoral (40%) and popliteal (20%) veins with accompanying arterial (90%), bone (20%) and nerve (30%) injuries present in the injured leg. All 10 venous repairs were patent with median follow-up of 3 months (Q1–Q3: 1–31, range: 1–65).

Three late secondary transtibial amputations were performed in arterial injured limbs at a mean of 27 ± 4 months (range:
 Table 3
 Follow-up (months) and associated injuries of extremity arterial repairs

Location	Upper and lower	Upper	Lower
Repairs (n)/Patients (n)	143/140	48/48	95/92
Follow-up (mean±SD)	36±40	37±40	35±40
Follow-up, median (Q1–Q3)	19 (3–58)	18 (5–58)	20 (3–57)
Range (months)	1–175	1–137	1–175
Occlusions (n)	8	3	5
Mean±SD	14±11	14±14	14±9
Range (months)	2–31	3–31	2–24
Vein graft, n (%)	116 (82)	43 (90)	73 (77)
Vein injuries, n (%)	33 (23)	6 (13)	27 (28)
Repaired/ligated	18/15	3/3	15/12
Nerve injuries, n (%)	53 (38)	30 (63)	23 (24)
Bone fractures, n (%)	52 (37)	15 (31)	37 (39)
Other injured extremities, n (%)	40 (28)	11 (23)	29 (31)

Patency includes all arterial repairs with imaging studies documenting patency. Occlusions include repairs with imaging documenting patency with subsequent (1) imaging showing occlusion, (2) notes documenting occlusion, (3) amputations including an arterial repair, or (4) replacement of an arterial graft. Q1–Q3, first and third quartiles; ;SD, standard deviation.

24–32). Amputations were: (1) gunshot wound requiring posterior tibial artery interposition vein graft patent at 12 months, amputation performed due to malalignment of a tibia/fibula fracture at 24 months, graft patency at time of amputation not documented, (2) explosive injury requiring primary repair of the popliteal artery patent at 2 months with accompanying bone fractures and nerve injury, amputation performed at 26 months due to intolerable pain and non-functional foot, popliteal artery patency at the time of the amputation not documented, and (3) explosive injury requiring femoral above-knee popliteal vein graft with accompanying bone and nerve injuries, amputation performed at 32 months for a non-functional limb; graft patent 73 months after injury.

Variables affecting mid-term arterial repair patency

Kaplan-Meier analysis comparing upper versus lower extremities suggested lower patency for other repairs (p=0.004) and similar patency for all repair types (p=0.83), vein graft repairs (p=0.41), gunshot wounds (p=0.40) and explosive injuries (p=0.31). Cox regressions showed a lower patency rate for upper versus lower extremity for other repairs compared with vein graft repairs (HR associated with upper vs. lower extremity for other repairs was 14.8, p=0.03 without adjusting for injury mechanisms, HR 12.2, p=0.06 when adjusting for injury mechanisms).

Similar arterial patency after civilian and combat extremity arterial injury

Upper extremity arterial repair patency was similar (96.9% vs. 93.8%) between civilians³² and the VAVIS subgroup of all upper extremity repairs (online supplemental table 1 and figure 2B). Arterial repairs using vein grafts were similar in the upper (97.6% vs. 97.7%) and lower (98.3% vs. 94.5%) extremities after civilian and combat injuries (online supplemental table 2 and figure 3).



Figure 3 Patency for gunshot wound versus explosive injury in extremity arterial injury. Kaplan-Meier curves of arterial injury repairs for (A) combined upper and lower extremities, (B) upper extremity, and (C) lower extremity. No significant differences in arterial repair patency between gunshot wounds (GSW) and explosive (Ex) injuries in the upper or lower extremity using the log-rank test.

DISCUSSION

Mid-term patency of arterial repair after combat-related EVI is excellent. This finding justifies assertive attempts at vascular repair and limb salvage in the acute setting, and the decision to amputate should be based on the degree of concomitant nerve, orthopedic and soft tissue injuries. Two major outcomes of EVI are repair patency and limb salvage. Arterial repair patency may be especially important in this younger cohort of Veterans accustomed to engaging in vigorous physical activity. EVI studies in OEF/OIF/OND Veterans reported early upper²⁴³⁵

Trauma Surg Acute Care Open: first published as 10.1136/tsaco-2020-000616 on 24 December 2020. Downloaded from http://tsaco.bmj.com/ on August 12, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright.

and lower^{1-4 10 20-23} extremity outcomes and long-term lower extremity salvage rates,^{3 4} but not mid-term patency, which is the focus of this report. This cohort study identified OEF/OIF/ OND service members with EVI and used imaging studies to estimate mid-term arterial repair patency and limb salvage, with median follow-up of 19 months. While there are multiple diagnostic options to assess patency, duplex ultrasound was the most commonly used in this cohort accounting for over 87.5% of upper extremity and 80% of lower extremity injury imaging studies. Explosives (52%) and gunshot (42%) were the most common mechanisms of injury. Arterial injuries were often associated with concomitant vein, nerve and bone injuries (table 3). Despite previous reports of decreased lower limb salvage after explosive injuries, patency rates were similar for arterial repairs for explosive injuries and gunshot wounds (figure 3), suggesting that associated injuries were the driving factor in amputations after explosive injuries. Vein grafts were the most common repair type with excellent estimated mid-term patency of 97% and 91% for upper and lower extremities, respectively. In contrast, all other types of repairs had similar lower extremity patency (figure 2C) and decreased upper extremity patency (figure 2B). Additionally, late amputations occurred secondary to functional limitations and chronic pain, not compromised vascular patency.

Arterial upper extremity civilian trauma

Studies from the Medical University of Innsbruck reported patency rates of 93% to 99% for repairs of upper extremity arterial injuries^{32 33 44} in the setting of civilian trauma. Concomitant upper extremity injuries for the entire cohort (including patients lost to follow-up) included 17% venous,44 36% to 43% nerve,^{32 33 44} and 60% to 72% orthopedic^{32 33 44} injuries. The current study had a similar rate of concurrent venous (13%), with higher rates of nerve injuries (64%) and lower rates of bone injuries (32%). Long-term patency determined by duplex imaging and excluding early repair failures, amputations and patient mortality reported 97% (65 patients), 97.6% (42 patients) and 96% (60 patients) patency for arterial injuries with a variety of injury mechanisms/repairs,³² venous interposition graft repairs,³³ and blunt trauma,⁴⁴ respectively, with median follow-up ranging from 61 to 72 months after injury. No late upper extremity amputations were performed. Neurologic complications resulting from blunt injuries were associated with more lasting functional deficits compared with penetrating injuries; however, patency rates between blunt and penetrating trauma were similar³² and comparable to patency rates of all upper extremity arterial repairs and after vein graft repairs in the current study.

Arterial lower extremity civilian trauma

Klocker *et al*³³ reported on lower extremity arterial injuries with vein graft repairs in 90 civilian patients. Blunt injuries (91%) predominated with concomitant nerve (29%) and orthopedic injuries (83%). The current study had comparable rates of nerve injuries (24%) and lower rates of bone injuries (39%). Patency assessed by duplex imaging on 59 (66%) patients was 98.3% and one late transfemoral amputation caused by progressive peripheral arterial disease resulted in a limb salvage rate of 98% with median follow-up of 72 months, similar to the 91% patency of lower extremity vein graft repairs and 97% limb salvage rate in the current study.

Long-term follow-up of combat-related EVI has primarily focused on functional outcomes^{2 38 45} and limb salvage^{3 4} in the lower extremities. Functional outcomes are challenging to assess given the wide variety of injuries, including TBI. Scott et al^2 reported on 5-year outcomes in service members sustaining primarily blast EVIs and found 43% to have unfavorable outcomes and poorer quality of life than the general population. Survey responses from a subset of the VAVIS cohort³⁸ extended follow-up to 10 years and demonstrated decreased mental health outcomes compared with population norms, similar to Scott et al.² In contrast, VAVIS found improved physical health outcomes that were similar to population norms suggesting Veterans are able to adapt to physical disabilities over time.³⁸ Secondary amputations defined as occurring after an initial attempt at lower extremity limb salvage, and further categorized as early or late (>30 days) after injury, occurred at rates of 11.3% and 5.7%, respectively, at median of 6.3 years of follow-up in 530 US service members.³ Sharrock et al⁴ used military trauma registries from the USA and UK to identify 597 service members with lower extremity arterial injuries to study limb salvage. The overall 74% limb salvage rate at 5.5 years of follow-up included mostly primary (no initial attempt at limb salvage) and both early and late secondary amputations, with the majority of secondary amputations occurring within 30 days after injury. Amputation rates were significantly lower after gunshot wounds compared with explosive injuries for both primary and secondary amputations, 13.0% versus 1.7% and 18.8% versus 9.4%, respectively, despite having similar acute arterial repair patency rates. Late secondary amputations were performed due to functional limitations (80%) and chronic pain (50%).³ The current study is consistent with these results, having a predominance of explosive injuries with similar estimated patency rates for gunshot wounds and explosive injuries. The most common level of amputation was transtibial^{3 4} similar to the three transtibial-level amputations occurring >3 months after injury (97% limb salvage rate) secondary to functional limitations and pain in our study. Taken together, these studies support the conclusion that long-term limb salvage depends on the severity of concomitant nerve, soft tissue and orthopedic injuries, as early⁴ and mid-term revascularization patency rates are similar across injury mechanisms.

Challenges of long-term follow-up

The DoDTR^{39 46} was instrumental in documenting casualties and providing data to improve combat casualty care. However, long-term follow-up for Veterans remains challenging for several reasons. First, studies using VHA and DoD data face multiple regulatory barriers and require access to data across two very different formats.47 Second, Veterans use multiple sources of healthcare.48 We did not have access to TRICARE or healthcare records from other healthcare systems. Finally, Veterans accessing VHA care tend to have lower socioeconomic status, have less education, are more likely to be unemployed or underemployed, and are more likely to report poorer physical and mental health than Veterans who do not use VHA care.49 Veterans with imaging studies had higher ISS/AIS and VHArated disability compared with those without patency data, suggesting that service members with more severe injuries are using the VHA for long-term care. Previous reports have documented the long-term disability of Veterans with extremity injuries⁶⁷ and EVI.²³³⁸ Thus, our reliance on VHA records for follow-up may have biased our sample toward a sicker, poorer

population of Veterans. Prior studies with full access to DoD records have documented short-term outcomes of EVI repair in combat settings including early occlusions and amputations after an initial attempt at repair.^{110 20-25} The motivation for the current study was to extend these outcomes despite the regulatory challenges and data access limitations.

Limitations

The study was limited by reliance on retrospective data, as documentation was sometimes incomplete. Determining arterial repair surveillance rates as a process of care was limited as non-VHA/ non-DoD data were not available and may partially explain the mean (36 months) and median (19 months) patency follow-up despite injuries occurring over 5-10 years ago. We were unable to categorize patency as primary or secondary due to the possibility of an intervention occurring between imaging studies. The dates of injury, interventions and imaging studies were limited to month and year; therefore, overestimation of patency within the first 1-2 months may be present for injuries occurring later in the month. Long-term limb salvage is dependent on multiple factors, including the neurologic and musculoskeletal consequences of the injury. The use of antiplatelet and anticoagulation medications that may affect repair patency after traumatic injury was not available. The studies^{32 33} in the civilian literature used to compare arterial patency had longer median follow-up than the current study. The VAVIS sample was younger and possibly fitter at the time of injury, and the mechanism of injury differed being primarily from armament blasts and roadside improvised explosive devices and gunshot wounds compared with predominantly blunt injuries among civilians. Thus, although patency rates appear similar between the Veterans and the civilians, the comparison is more useful to put the postmilitary experience in context. The small sample sizes and high patency rates limit the ability to verify hypothesis 2 between the civilian studies^{32 33} and current study. We did not find comparable patency studies in the literature on combat-related arterial injuries.

CONCLUSIONS

EVI and associated injuries have high rates of morbidity and disability²⁻⁴ among Veterans of OEF/OIF/OND. Service members underwent successful arterial repair with excellent mid-term patency rates for upper and lower extremity injuries, regardless of mechanism, based on review of arterial imaging studies. Late amputations were rare and mainly performed for associated fractures and nerve injuries resulting in functional limitations and chronic pain, despite a patent arterial repair. Upper extremity vein graft arterial repairs had superior patency to other types of repairs and should be used whenever feasible, while considering the patient's overall condition. These results suggest that decisions in the acute setting for amputation versus limb salvage should be based on the overall condition of the patient and the degree of concomitant nerve, orthopedic and soft tissue injuries rather than the presence of arterial injuries, given the excellent mid-term patency of arterial repairs. This evidence justifies continued assertive attempts at vascular repair and limb salvage in the acute postinjury period.

Author affiliations

¹Long School of Medicine, The University of Texas Health Science Center San Antonio, San Antonio, Texas, USA

²South Texas Veterans Health Care System, San Antonio, Texas, USA

³Surgery, Long School of Medicine, The University of Texas Health Science Center San Antonio, San Antonio, Texas, USA

⁴VA Salt Lake City Health Care System, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA

5Internal Medicine, The University of Utah School of Medicine, Salt Lake City, Utah,

Open access

USA

⁶VA Central Western Massachusetts Healthcare System, Leeds, Massachusetts, USA ⁷Population and Quantitative Health Sciences, University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, Massachusetts, USA

⁸Population Health Sciences, Long School of Medicine, The University of Texas Health Science Center San Antonio, San Antonio, Texas, USA

⁹Surgery, South Texas Veterans Health Care System, San Antonio, Texas, USA

Acknowledgements The authors acknowledge the Department of Defense Trauma Registry (DoDTR) for providing data, the valuable contributions of Kathleen Franklin, RN, and Natalie Rohde, MA, in data abstraction, and Deeksha Sharma, MS, for performing the Kaplan-Meier analyses.

Contributors LJH and EB performed data collection, writing, analysis and interpretation. MJVP performed conception and design, analysis and interpretation, data collection and obtained funding. LAC performed conception and design, statistical analysis and interpretation. CPW performed conception, statistical analysis and interpretation. DJM performed data collection, statistical analysis and interpretation. DJM performed data collection, statistical analysis and interpretation. MEA performed data collection and statistical analysis. PKS performed conception and design, data collection, analysis and interpretation, writing, and obtained funding. All authors provided critical revisions and approved the final article.

Funding Studies were supported by the Veterans Health Administration merit award HX001304/IIR 13-029. MJVP also receives funding from VHA Health Services Research and Development Service grant (RCS 17-297).

Disclaimer The views expressed are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the Department of Veterans Affairs.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Ethics approval The study was approved by the VHA Central Institutional Review Board; consent was waived for chart review and abstraction.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement All data relevant to the study are included in the article or uploaded as supplementary information. Patient-level data for this study are not available.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

ORCID iD

Paula K Shireman http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9701-5422

REFERENCES

- White JM, Stannard A, Burkhardt GE, Eastridge BJ, Blackbourne LH, Rasmussen TE. The epidemiology of vascular injury in the wars in Iraq and Afghanistan. *Ann Surg* 2011;253:1184–9.
- 2 Scott DJ, Arthurs ZM, Stannard A, Monroe HM, Clouse WD, Rasmussen TE. Patient-Based outcomes and quality of life after salvageable wartime extremity vascular injury. J Vasc Surg 2014;59:173–9.
- 3 Perkins ZB, Yet B, Glasgow S, Marsh DWR, Tai NRM, Rasmussen TE. Long-Term, patient-centered outcomes of lower-extremity vascular trauma. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg* 2018;85:S104–11.
- 4 Sharrock AE, Tai N, Perkins Z, White JM, Remick KN, Rickard RF, Rasmussen TE. Management and outcome of 597 wartime penetrating lower extremity arterial injuries from an international military cohort. J Vasc Surg 2019;70:224–32.
- 5 Patel JA, White JM, White PW, Rich NM, Rasmussen TE. A contemporary, 7-year analysis of vascular injury from the war in Afghanistan. J Vasc Surg 2018;68:1872–9.
- 6 Doukas WC, Hayda RA, Frisch HM, Andersen RC, Mazurek MT, Ficke JR, Keeling JJ, Pasquina PF, Wain HJ, Carlini AR, et al. The military extremity trauma Amputation/Limb salvage (metals) study: outcomes of amputation versus limb salvage following major lower-extremity trauma. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2013;95:138–45.
- 7 Mitchell SL, Hayda R, Chen AT, Carlini AR, Ficke JR, MacKenzie EJ, METALS Study Group. The military extremity trauma Amputation/Limb salvage (metals) study: outcomes of amputation compared with limb salvage following major upper-extremity trauma. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2019;101:1470–8.
- 8 Rasmussen TE, Kellermann AL. Wartime Lessons Shaping a National Trauma Action Plan. N Engl J Med 2016;375:1612–5.
- 9 The National Academies Press, National Academy of Science Engineering and Medicine. A National trauma care system: Integrating military and civilian trauma systems to achieve zero preventable deaths. 2016. https://www.nap.edu/download/ 23511# (04 Dec 2020).

- Nguyen T, Kalish J, Woodson J. Management of civilian and military vascular trauma: lessons learned. Semin Vasc Surg 2010;23:235–42.
- 11 Alam HB, DiMusto PD. Management of lower extremity vascular trauma. Curr Trauma Rep 2015;1:61–8.
- 12 Fox N, Rajani RR, Bokhari F, Chiu WC, Kerwin A, Seamon MJ, Skarupa D, Frykberg E, . Eastern Association for the Surgery of Trauma. Evaluation and management of penetrating lower extremity arterial trauma: an eastern association for the surgery of trauma practice management guideline. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg* 2012;73:S315–20.
- 13 Fry WR, Smith RS, Sayers DV, Henderson VJ, Morabito DJ, Tsoi EK, Harness JK, Organ CH. The success of duplex ultrasonographic scanning in diagnosis of extremity vascular proximity trauma. *Arch Surg* 1993;128:1368–72.
- 14 Rozycki GS, Tremblay LN, Feliciano DV, McClelland WB. Blunt vascular trauma in the extremity: diagnosis, management, and outcome. J Trauma 2003;55:814–24.
- 15 Subramanian A, Vercruysse G, Dente C, Wyrzykowski A, King E, Feliciano DV. A decade's experience with temporary intravascular shunts at a civilian level I trauma center. J Trauma 2008;65:316–26.
- 16 Fitridge RA, Raptis S, Miller JH, Faris I. Upper extremity arterial injuries: experience at the Royal Adelaide Hospital, 1969 to 1991. J Vasc Surg 1994;20:941–6.
- 17 van der Sluis CK, Kucey DS, Brenneman FD, Hunter GA, Maggisano R, ten Duis HJ. Long-Term outcomes after upper limb arterial injuries. *Can J Surg* 1997;40:265–70.
- 18 Brown KR, Jean-Claude J, Seabrook GR, Towne JB, Cambria RA. Determinates of functional disability after complex upper extremity trauma. *Ann Vasc Surg* 2001;15:43–8.
- 19 Topal AE, Eren MN, Celik Y. Lower extremity arterial injuries over a six-year period: outcomes, risk factors, and management. Vasc Health Risk Manag 2010;6:1103–10.
- 20 Aidinian G, Fox CJ, Rasmussen TE, Gillespie DL. Varied presentations of missile emboli in military combat. J Vasc Surg 2010;51:214–7.
- 21 Burkhardt GE, Cox M, Clouse WD, Porras C, Gifford SM, Williams K, Propper BW, Rasmussen TE. Outcomes of selective tibial artery repair following combat-related extremity injury. J Vasc Surg 2010;52:91–6.
- 22 Gifford SM, Aidinian G, Clouse WD, Fox CJ, Porras CA, Jones WT, Zarzabal LA, Michalek JE, Propper BW, Burkhardt GE, et al. Effect of temporary shunting on extremity vascular injury: an outcome analysis from the global war on terror vascular injury initiative. J Vasc Surg 2009;50:549–56.
- 23 Rasmussen TE, Clouse WD, Jenkins DH, Peck MA, Eliason JL, Smith DL. Echelons of care and the management of wartime vascular injury: a report from the 332nd EMDG/ Air force Theater Hospital, BALAD air base, Iraq. *Perspect Vasc Surg Endovasc Ther* 2006;18:91–9.
- 24 Vuoncino M, Soo Hoo AJ, Patel JA, White PW, Rasmussen TE, White JM. Epidemiology of upper extremity vascular injury in contemporary combat. *Ann Vasc Surg* 2020;62:98–103.
- 25 Woodward EB, Clouse WD, Eliason JL, Peck MA, Bowser AN, Cox MW, Jones WT, Rasmussen TE. Penetrating femoropopliteal injury during modern warfare: experience of the BALAD vascular registry. J Vasc Surg 2008;47:1259–65.
- 26 Feliciano DV, Mattox KL, Graham JM, Bitondo CG. Five-Year experience with PTFE grafts in vascular wounds. J Trauma 1985;25:71–82.
- 27 Keen RR, Meyer JP, Durham JR, Eldrup-Jorgensen J, Flanigan P, Schwarcz TH, Schuler JJ. Autogenous vein graft repair of injured extremity arteries: early and late results with 134 consecutive patients. J Vasc Surg 1991;13:664–8.
- 28 Martin LC, McKenney MG, Sosa JL, Ginzburg E, Puente I, Sleeman D, Zeppa R. Management of lower extremity arterial trauma. *J Trauma* 1994;37:591–9. discussion 8-9.
- 29 McCready RA, Logan NM, Daugherty ME, Mattingly SS, Crocker C, Hyde GL. Long-Term results with autogenous tissue repair of traumatic extremity vascular injuries. *Ann Surg* 1987;206:804–8.
- 30 Myers SĪ, Harward TR, Maher DP, Melissinos EG, Lowry PA. Complex upper extremity vascular trauma in an urban population. J Vasc Surg 1990;12:305–9.
- 31 Manord JD, Garrard CL, Kline DG, Sternbergh WC, Money SR. Management of severe proximal vascular and neural injury of the upper extremity. J Vasc Surg 1998;27:43–9.
- 32 Frech A, Pellegrini L, Fraedrich G, Goebel G, Klocker J. Long-Term clinical outcome and functional status after arterial reconstruction in upper extremity injury. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 2016;52:119–23.
- 33 Klocker J, Bertoldi A, Benda B, Pellegrini L, Gorny O, Fraedrich G. Outcome after interposition of vein grafts for arterial repair of extremity injuries in civilians. J Vasc Surg 2014;59:1633–7.
- 34 Töpel I, Pfister K, Moser A, Stehr A, Steinbauer M, Prantl L, Nerlich M, Schlitt H-J, Kasprzak PM. Clinical outcome and quality of life after upper extremity arterial trauma. *Ann Vasc Surg* 2009;23:317–23.
- 35 Clouse WD, Rasmussen TE, Perlstein J, Sutherland MJ, Peck MA, Eliason JL, Jazerevic S, Jenkins DH. Upper extremity vascular injury: a current in-theater wartime report from operation Iraqi freedom. *Ann Vasc Surg* 2006;20:429–34.
- 36 Engel C, Simmons M, Heins S, Shen M, Azhar G, Piquado T. RAND Corporation. Limb Salvage and Recovery After Severe Blast Injury: Literature Review for the Eighth Department of Defense International State-of-the-Science Meeting on Blast Injury Research. 2020. https://www.rand.org/pubs/research_reports/RRA199-1.html (09 Nov 2020).
- 37 Shireman PK, Rasmussen TE, Jaramillo CA, Pugh MJ. VA vascular injury study (VAVIS): VA-DoD extremity injury outcomes collaboration. *BMC Surg* 2015;15:13.

Open access

- 38 Haney LJ, Pugh MJV, Copeland LA, Wang C-P, MacCarthy DJ, Amuan ME, Shireman PK. Persistent pain, physical dysfunction, and decreased quality of life after combat extremity vascular trauma. *Ann Vasc Surg* 2020. [Epub ahead of print: 03 Sep 2020].
- 39 Eastridge BJ, Wade CE, Spott MA, Costanzo G, Dunne J, Flaherty S, Holcomb JB, West S, Apodaca A, Blackbourne L, et al. Utilizing a trauma systems approach to benchmark and improve combat casualty care. J Trauma 2010;69 Suppl 1:S5–9.
- 40 Baker SP, O'Neill B, Haddon W, Long WB. The injury severity score: a method for describing patients with multiple injuries and evaluating emergency care. *J Trauma* 1974;14:187–96.
- 41 Gennarelli TA, Wodzin E. AIS 2005: a contemporary injury scale. *Injury* 2006;37:1083–91.
- 42 , Oderich GS, Forbes TL, Chaer R, Davies MG, Lindsay TF, Mastracci T, Singh MJ, Timaran C, Woo EY. Writing Committee Group. Reporting standards for endovascular aortic repair of aneurysms involving the renal-mesenteric arteries. *J Vasc Surg* 2020. [Epub ahead of print: 29 Jun 2020].
- 43 Vertrees A, Fox CJ, Quan RW, Cox MW, Adams ED, Gillespie DL. The use of prosthetic grafts in complex military vascular trauma: a limb salvage strategy for patients with severely limited autologous conduit. J Trauma 2009;66:980–3.

- 44 Klocker J, Falkensammer J, Pellegrini L, Biebl M, Tauscher T, Fraedrich G. Repair of arterial injury after blunt trauma in the upper extremity - immediate and long-term outcome. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 2010;39:160–4.
- 45 Stannard A, Scott DJ, Ivatury RA, Miller DL, Ames-Chase AC, Feider LL, Porras CA, Gifford SM, Rasmussen TE. A collaborative research system for functional outcomes following wartime extremity vascular injury. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg* 2012;73:S7–12.
- 46 O'Connell KM, Littleton-Kearney MT, Bridges E, Bibb SC. Evaluating the joint Theater trauma registry as a data source to benchmark casualty care. *Mil Med* 2012;177:546–52.
- 47 Botts N, Bouhaddou O, Bennett J, Pan E, Byrne C, Mercincavage L, Olinger L, Hunolt E, Cullen T. Data quality and Interoperability challenges for eHealth exchange participants: observations from the Department of Veterans Affairs' virtual lifetime electronic record health pilot phase. AMIA Annu Symp Proc 2014;2014:307–14.
- 48 Jackonis MJ, Deyton L, Hess WJ. War, its aftermath, and U.S. health policy: toward a comprehensive health program for America's military personnel, Veterans, and their families. *J Law Med Ethics* 2008;36:677–89.
- 49 Morgan RO, Teal CR, Reddy SG, Ford ME, Ashton CM. Measurement in Veterans Affairs health services research: Veterans as a special population. *Health Serv Res* 2005;40:1573–83.

ç