

Orthopaedic traumatology: fundamental principles and current controversies for the acute care surgeon

Shad K Pharaon,¹ Shawn Schoch,² Lucas Marchand,³ Amer Mirza,⁴ John Mayberry^{5,6}

¹Trauma and Acute Care Surgery, PeaceHealth Southwest Medical Center, Vancouver, Washington, USA

²Department of Orthopaedics and Sports Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, USA

³Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA

⁴Orthopaedic Trauma Surgery, Legacy Emanuel Medical Center, Portland, Oregon, USA

⁵Trauma and Acute Care Surgery, Saint Alphonsus Regional Medical Center, Boise, Idaho, USA

⁶Department of Surgery, WWAMI Regional Medical Education, University of Washington School of Medicine, Seattle, Washington, USA

Correspondence to

Dr John Mayberry, Department of Trauma and Acute Care Surgery, Saint Alphonsus Health System, Boise, Idaho, USA; john.mayberry@idahosurgeons.net

Received 12 June 2017

Revised 20 September 2017

Accepted 15 November 2017

SUMMARY

Multiply injured patients with fractures are co-managed by acute care surgeons and orthopaedic surgeons. In most centers, orthopaedic surgeons definitively manage fractures, but preliminary management, including washouts, splinting, reductions, and external fixations, may be performed by selected acute care surgeons. The acute care surgeon should have a working knowledge of orthopaedic terminology to communicate with colleagues effectively. They should have an understanding of the composition of bone, periosteum, and cartilage, and their reaction when there is an injury. Fractures are usually fixed urgently, but some multiply injured patients are better served with a damage control strategy. Extremity compartment syndrome should be suspected in all critically injured patients with or without fractures and a low threshold for compartment pressure measurements or empiric fasciotomy maintained. Acute care surgeons performing rib fracture fixation and other chest wall injury reconstructions should follow the principles of open fracture reduction and stabilization.

INTRODUCTION

Acute care surgery is a specialty born out of the demand for surgeons with broad expertise in managing trauma, emergency general surgery and surgical critical care.¹ The diverse training and experience of the acute care surgeon are considered fundamental for primary management of injured patients. Although in many European countries trauma surgeons are proficient in both general and orthopaedic traumatology, in the USA acute care surgeons and orthopaedic surgeons comanage trauma patients with fractures.^{2,3} Local practice patterns and capability may allow for acute care surgeons to perform preliminary management of fractures including washouts, splinting, reductions and external fixations, but this is not expected to be the norm. American orthopaedic surgeons possess a scientific and experiential knowledge of fracture care that is only mastered after years of concentrated training and experience. Nonetheless, in order to facilitate management of multiple-system injured patients, acute care surgeons need familiarity with the principles that guide optimal fracture management and lead to fracture healing with minimal complications. In addition, acute care surgeons are more frequently managing complex rib and sternal fractures with open reduction and internal fixation (ORIF). Optimal fracture management principles apply to chest wall injuries as well.

BONE

Bone is a living organ in which osteoblasts and osteoclasts remodel the extracellular matrix in response

to stress and trauma.^{4,5} Cancellous (trabecular) bone is porous and located mainly in the metaphysis and epiphysis. Cortical bone is dense, mainly located in the diaphysis. Cortical bone offers better implant purchase and imparts superior strength to fixation constructs. The thickness of the cortex, however, is also factor in screw fixation since bones with thin cortices, for example, ribs, metacarpals, metatarsals and phalanges, may not reliably hold a screw and thus require special consideration in implant design and fixation strategies.⁶ Bones are also classified by their shape, for example, long bones (humerus, femur, radius, ulna, tibia, fibula), short bones (carpal and tarsal bones), flat bones (scapula, ribs, sternum), irregular bones (vertebrae, pelvis, skull), pneumatic bones (sphenoid, ethmoid, maxilla) and sesamoid bones (patella, pisiform).

PERIOSTEUM

Periosteum consists of two layers, an outer fibrous layer and an inner more vascular layer that directly abuts cortical bone.⁷ The inner layer is very robust in children and adolescents, which is why these patients usually heal fractures in an expedited fashion. Periosteum provides blood supply to the underlying bone and contains nociceptive nerve endings highly sensitive to injury and inflammation. The periosteum also contains progenitor cells that produce new osteoblasts and osteoclasts in response to injury and mechanical stress.

Periosteum is an essential element in the healing of fractures. When the periosteum is destroyed or devascularized by trauma or infection, bone can be significantly limited in its regenerative capacity. When a surgeon intentionally strips the periosteum from the bone or cauterizes it, underlying bone may not survive therefore affecting bony healing.⁸

CARTILAGE

Cartilage consists of a sparse population of cells (chondrocytes) embedded within an abundant matrix.⁹ There are three types of adult human cartilage: fibrous, elastic and hyaline. Fibrous cartilage forms part of the intervertebral discs and pubic symphysis. Elastic cartilage forms the auricle of the external ear. Hyaline cartilage is the most widespread and has two forms: articular and growth. Articular cartilage is found in the synovial joints and has remarkable mechanical properties: gliding smoothness, load distribution that minimizes stress on underlying bone and durability. Nonetheless, cartilage is less densely vascularized and innervated than bone and chondrocytes are slow to respond

To cite: Pharaon SK, Schoch S, Marchand L, et al. *Trauma Surg Acute Care Open* 2018;**3**:1–8.



Figure 1 (A) Lateral radiograph of the wrist-apex volar angulation (dorsal displacement) of comminuted distal radius fracture. (B) Anteroposterior radiograph of tibia/fibula - apex medial angulation (valgus) of simple, diaphyseal tibia fracture. (C) Lateral radiograph of tibia/fibula – apex anterior angulation of spiral, diaphyseal tibia fracture. (D) Anteroposterior radiograph of femur - medial displacement of simple, diaphyseal femur fracture without significant angulation.

to injury.⁹ Cartilage injuries and fractures, therefore, have less capacity to heal.

FRACTURES

When describing fractures, the acute care surgeon must use precise language and avoid vague terminology. Essential descriptive terminology includes the anatomic location of the injury, fracture pattern, the amount of displacement and whether the fracture is open or closed.

Anatomic locations include articular, metaphyseal or diaphyseal injuries. An intra-articular fracture extends into the joint space. Fracture patterns include transverse, spiral, oblique, comminuted and segmental. A transverse fracture is perpendicular to the bone's line of axis. Spiral and oblique are often confused. Both can be the result of a rotational force applied to the bone. A true spiral fracture involves a fracture line that traverses in two directions while an oblique fracture extends in a single plane. A comminuted fracture has multiple fragments and a segmental fracture is a type of comminuted fracture in which there are well-defined fragments. Certain fractures may be complicated by associated bone loss, which often occurs in the setting of an open fracture.

Fracture displacement occurs when one fragment shifts in relation to the other through translation, angulation, shortening or rotation (figure 1). Typically, descriptions of displacement of hand and wrist fractures include the terms volar and dorsal instead of anterior and posterior and ulnar and radial instead of medial and lateral. The amount of translation should also be reported, as this may be a surrogate for the amount of energy required to create the fracture. Two millimeters or less of translation is considered 'minimally displaced'. When describing angulation, the direction of which the apex of the angle is pointing should be stated, that is, medial angulation or volar angulation, as well as the degree of angulation, which can be measured using a goniometer (a protractor-like device). Shortening or rotation of the bone should be noticed on clinical examination and likewise reported.

Communication of an open fracture is critical. Open fractures refer to injuries in which the fracture site has direct communication with the external environment. The presence of an open fracture has significant implications on injury management and prognosis.

HEALING PHASES

Bone heals by regeneration with the same three stages of inflammation, repair and remodeling as soft tissue.^{4,5} The ideal healing environment requires healthy bone, adequate blood supply and mechanical stability. The inflammatory stage is relatively short, constituting only about 10% of the total time. Inflammatory cells migrate to the injury site, vasodilation ensues and the patient experiences swelling, erythema, bruising, pain and impaired function. A hematoma forms between the fractured ends. In a closed fracture, the increased pressure within the hematoma compresses the blood vessels, limiting the size of the hematoma. Nevertheless, the bleeding associated with a closed fracture can still be substantial. For example, a closed femur fracture can result in several liters of blood loss. Open fractures can hemorrhage substantially, as the tamponade effect of the surrounding tissue is absent.

The repair stage commences by the first or second week. Fibroblasts appear and begin assembling a new matrix. Angiogenesis occurs during this stage and vessels begin penetrating newly developed tissue. The fracture hematoma provides a fibrin scaffold for the formation of the 'soft' callus. This callus is primarily type II cartilage and its structure resembles woven bone. Bone begins to replace the cartilage about 3 weeks from injury, forming the 'hard' callus.

During the repair phase, collagen fibers are laid at random angles to each other as if they were 'woven'. With repetitive mechanical loading over several months, woven bone remodels into lamellar bone. Fractured bone that is 'stress-shielded' by metal plates or immobility, however, may remain in a woven (immature) state indefinitely.⁶

The remodeling phase of fracture healing begins approximately 6 weeks after the injury. Woven bone is gradually replaced with lamellar bone and the callus is resorbed. This stage may last months to years.

PRIMARY VERSUS SECONDARY HEALING

Primary (direct) fracture healing occurs with rigid immobilization and compression at the fracture site.⁶ There is essentially no motion at the fracture site and healing occurs through intramembranous ossification. A callus will not be formed. Therefore, when reviewing imaging of a rigidly fixed fracture, do not expect to see radiographic evidence of a callus to indicate progressive healing. Rigid immobilization is often achieved with conventional plates and compression screws.

Secondary (indirect) healing occurs when there is motion at the fracture site.⁶ If the fracture is healing, a callus should be seen on radiographic imaging. Indirect healing occurs with casting, external fixation, intramedullary nailing and newer types of locked plating systems.

The difference between primary and secondary healing emphasizes an important concept. Fracture care requires a balance between motion and stability. Inadequate stabilization can allow excess motion at the fracture site. Too much motion can impede tissue differentiation to bone, resulting in insufficient matrix formation. If there is overstabilization and therefore no motion at the fracture site, there will not be sufficient stress stimulus to promote bone formation. The orthopedic traumatologist's understanding of fracture pattern and construct mechanics instructs their choice of fixation strategy.

FACTORS NEGATIVELY AFFECTING HEALING

The severity of the injury, the patient's comorbidities and the surgery performed can affect fracture healing dramatically.¹⁰⁻¹² Open fractures, bone loss, bone devitalization, contamination and infection can be especially devastating.¹² Negative patient characteristics include advanced age, malnutrition, smoking, significant comorbidities and the use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs).¹⁰⁻¹¹ Comorbid conditions such as diabetes mellitus, peripheral vascular disease, rheumatoid arthritis and vitamin D deficiency impair healing.¹⁰⁻¹¹ Smoking increases the risk of fracture non-union.¹³ Because of reliable efficacy in inflammation and pain control, NSAID use is prevalent in acute and chronic musculoskeletal injuries, but NSAIDs may have a negative effect on fracture healing.¹⁴ Individual orthopedic surgeons should be consulted as to whether they will allow NSAIDs to be prescribed to their patients during fracture healing. Perioperative hyperglycemia is an independent risk factor for surgical site infection even in patients without a known history of diabetes.¹⁵ Surgical factors leading to fracture complications include poor reduction, unstable fixation, bone devitalization and surgical site infection.¹¹

INITIAL MANAGEMENT

Initial management for a fracture is realignment and splinting. Realignment and splinting improve pain control, arterial flow, venous drainage and decreases soft tissue tension caused by displaced fractures. Furthermore, realignment and splinting can decrease the potential volume around the fracture diminishing the amount of bleeding. Displaced fractures may also result in nerve impingement that can be relieved with realignment. A dislocated joint should be urgently reduced to prevent further damage to the ligaments, tendons, neurovascular structures and the inner chondral surfaces. Splints are non-circumferential and

are molded or otherwise secured around a limb to accommodate swelling.

Casts are circumferential, made from plaster or synthetic material. Focal pressure over a bony prominence can cause skin and soft tissue pressure necrosis, hence the need for careful application and extra padding over threatened skin.

Traction improves alignment, reduces fracture motion and can be used as a temporary measure until definitive fixation. An example of this would be the multiple-injured patient with a severe head injury with elevated intracranial pressures and a femur fracture. Length and alignment of the femur fracture can be maintained in traction until the brain injury improves enough for the patient to tolerate non-cranial invasive procedures. Both skeletal and cutaneous traction may be used in a safe manner, however skeletal traction is typically preferred over cutaneous, especially for prolonged delays.¹⁶

OPEN FRACTURES

Open fractures should likewise be realigned, dressed with gauze and splinted but gross contamination should be cleansed prior to dressing the wound, and formal irrigation and debridement in the operating room or the intensive care unit (ICU) will be necessary.¹⁷ Hydrogen peroxide and povidone are not recommended for irrigation because of toxicity to cells.¹⁸⁻¹⁹ High-pressure pulsatile lavage is equivalent to gravity-assisted lavage for the initial irrigation of open fractures,²⁰ but may cause increased damage to bone and soft tissue. Low pressure lavage remains a cost-effective alternative for cleansing open fractures. Intravenous antibiotics should be administered on presentation and continued until a thorough debridement in the operating room has occurred.²¹⁻²² For most fractures, a first-generation cephalosporin can be used. For high-energy mechanisms, segmental fractures or fractures with a large soft tissue defect additional antibiotics are recommended to cover Gram-negative flora. Due to the nephrotoxicity of aminoglycosides, there has been a transition to using fluoroquinolones or ceftriaxone in polytrauma patients to avoid further kidney injury during resuscitation. If there is a farm-related injury, the addition of penicillin is recommended to cover *Clostridium*.²¹⁻²² Tetanus prophylaxis is advised. Soft tissue coverage (ie, skin grafting, rotational tissue transfer or flap coverage) may be necessary.

CONTROVERSY: DAMAGE CONTROL ORTHOPEDICS VERSUS EARLY APPROPRIATE CARE

Damage control orthopedics (DCO) is a fracture management strategy reserved for the minority of fracture patients who are severely or multiply injured.²³⁻²⁶ DCO principles encourage the orthopedic traumatologist to delay definitive fixation until the patient is 'resuscitated'.²⁷ Instead of early definitive fixation (<24-36 hours postinjury), the orthopedic surgeon temporarily restores alignment and limb length with traction or external fixation in 'borderline' and 'high-risk' patients.²⁸⁻³⁰ Patients with higher degrees of injury, shock, coagulopathy and hypothermia may benefit from DCO.³⁰ Patients with significant brain, chest or abdominal injuries regardless of the above abnormalities are also candidates.²⁸⁻³¹⁻³³ DCO may also be used if severe injury is present to skin or muscle resulting in compartment syndrome or vascular injury as well as if the surgeon or system have limitations. Delaying definitive fixation in the vast majority of patients who could tolerate early appropriate care (EAC), however, is also associated with unnecessary harm and expense.²⁶⁻³⁴

The 'second hit' theory is often used to explain the physiology behind the benefit of delaying definitive fixation until

the unstable patient is resuscitated.³⁰ In multitrauma patients, an early surgical intervention may elicit a second inflammatory insult resulting in a detrimental immune-mediated hyperinflammatory response.³⁵ 'Fat embolism' occurring during fracture manipulation or reaming of intramedullary canals can be an additive insult leading to Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome (ARDS).³⁶

Current controversy lies in how aggressively to apply DCO. Using clinical grading criteria based on retrospective database analysis, Pape *et al* proposed a four-tiered categorization of stable, unstable, borderline and in extremis based on evaluation of the patient's degree of shock, coagulopathy, hypothermia and soft tissue injury³⁷ (box 1). These criteria are complicated, however, and likely have led to the DCO strategy being applied more often than necessary.²⁶ Nahm *et al* have argued, also based on retrospective database analysis, that the decision to apply DCO is simpler and can be based on pH, base excess and lactate levels alone²⁶ (box 2). Using EAC criteria, Vallier *et al* argue that DCO need only apply to about 4% of fracture patients presenting to a level 1 trauma center.²⁶ Vallier *et al* agree that severe head injury, cardiac instability and severe pulmonary dysfunction would be exceptions to their findings, but they found that pulmonary injury was not as detrimental to EAC patients as did Pape *et al*.^{26 37}

We support a DCO protocol which delays definitive fixation in patients with the following: base deficit ≥ 5.5 or lactate ≥ 4 , coagulopathy (INR > 1.6) and core temperature $\leq 33^\circ\text{C}$. These abnormalities, however, can often be corrected rapidly (within several hours) and do not necessarily preclude EAC. Additional considerations that may influence surgical timing but not necessarily preclude EAC include the degree of soft tissue injury associated with any fracture, the platelet count and the use of any vasopressor therapy. For patients with a significant brain injury our neurosurgeons generally require an intracerebral pressure (ICP) monitor in place and will not clear the patient for non-emergency surgery until the ICP is < 20 mm Hg without significant surges or spikes. We encourage the placement of external fixators, washouts and appropriate antibiotic treatment of open fractures in the ICU (rather than the operating room) in patients selected for DCO.

Box 1 Clinical criteria by Pape et al for 'borderline' and higher risk patients^{30 37}

- ▶ ISS ≥ 40
- ▶ ISS > 20 with thoracic injury (AIS ≥ 3)
- ▶ Multiple long bone fractures plus truncal injuries (AIS ≥ 2)
- ▶ Abdominal/pelvic trauma (AIS ≥ 4) plus hypotension (SBP < 90 mm Hg)
- ▶ Bilateral pulmonary contusion on first plain film
- ▶ Presumed operation time > 6 hours
- ▶ Mean PA pressure > 24 mm Hg
- ▶ PA pressure increase during intramedullary nailing > 6 mm Hg
- ▶ Hypothermia ($\leq 35^\circ\text{C}$)
- ▶ Moderate or severe head injury (AIS ≥ 3)
- ▶ P/F ratio 300–350
- ▶ Platelet count 90K–110K
- ▶ Fibrinogen ≤ 1 g/L
- ▶ 2–8 units blood transfusion initially
- ▶ Lactate ≥ 2.5

AIS, Abbreviated Injury Scale; ISS, Injury Severity Score; K, 1000; PA, pulmonary artery; SBP, systolic blood pressure.

Box 2 Criteria by Nahm et al for damage control orthopedics (DCO)*²⁶

- ▶ pH < 7.25
- ▶ Base excess < 5.5
- ▶ Lactate > 4.0
- ▶ *Any one of the three abnormalities qualifies the patient for the 'high-risk' category

Additionally, in the majority of multi-injured patients who are resuscitated, combination surgeries, that is, combining two or three operative procedures in the same setting on the same day, in resuscitated patients is safe.³⁸

Box 3 summarizes generally accepted practice principles for DCO and EAC.

MINIMALLY INVASIVE OSTEOSYNTHESIS

Fracture fixation surgical approaches have evolved considerably in the last two decades.³⁹ When an indirect reduction maneuver is performed with application of percutaneously placed plates, the technique is collectively referred to as 'minimally' or 'less' invasive osteosynthesis.^{40–42} This approach strives to maintain a healthy envelope of tissue surrounding the bone. Less disruption of the fracture site hematoma is believed to be more optimal for fracture healing.

These techniques can be very technically challenging. The lack of open visualization of the fracture site visualization increases the difficulty of reduction and the potential for nerve or vascular injury.^{43 44} Furthermore, appropriate application of these techniques is required to ensure an appropriate mechanical environment results to allow for bone healing.

FRACTURE NON-UNIONS

When there is inadequate healing, a fracture non-union may result.⁴⁵ The strict definition of a non-union is a fracture older than 9 months without progressive healing for three consecutive months. Orthopedic surgeons, however, may diagnose a non-union in as little as 6 months if they are convinced that without intervention there is no further potential for healing. The risk of non-union is approximately 5% and is related to the degree of displacement, surrounding tissue damage, anatomic location and patient characteristics. A diagnosis of non-union should be considered if there is persistent pain, progressive deformity, failing implants or no radiographic evidence of healing if

Box 3 Generally accepted practice principles for damage control orthopedics (DCO) vs early appropriate care (EAC)

- ▶ DCO applies to a minority (as low as 4%) of all fracture patients.²⁶
- ▶ Delaying definitive fixation in patients who qualify for EAC may cause harm.^{26 34}
- ▶ Selected fracture patients with severe brain, pulmonary and abdominal injuries may benefit from DCO.^{24–33}
- ▶ Under-resuscitated, coagulopathic and hypothermic patients may benefit from DCO, but these abnormalities can usually be rapidly corrected.^{24–30}
- ▶ In resuscitated patients, it is not necessary to stage multiple surgeries on alternate days.³⁸



Figure 2 Hypertrophic tibial fracture non-union.

using indirect methods. If the fracture does not heal, any implant that has been placed will ultimately fail.

Non-unions are further described as either infected or aseptic.⁴⁶ The workup for a non-union includes infectious (white blood cell count, erythrocyte sedimentation rate, C reactive protein) and endocrinology labs (hemoglobin A1c, thyroid-stimulating hormone, 25-hydroxyvitamin D, albumin). If there is an infected non-union, the ideal scenario would be to remove the implants because there is a biofilm created by bacteria, and without removal, the organisms will with few exceptions never be fully eradicated.^{47,48} Once an infection is identified, empiric antibiotics may be started if the patient is demonstrating evidence of sepsis. If the patient does not have signs or symptoms of systemic illness, antibiotics should be reserved for surgical debridement and intraoperative cultures in order to tailor to culture-specific sensitivities. During surgery, local antibiotics can also be delivered in the form of antibiotic impregnated devices (cement beads, intramedullary nails or calcium sulfate).⁴⁹

Aseptic non-unions are treated much differently. There are three types of aseptic non-unions: hypertrophic, atrophic and oligotrophic. Differentiation is important to choose the correct treatment protocol. Hypertrophic non-unions have too much motion at the fracture site. In hypertrophic non-unions, there is a lack of sufficient stability to promote healing in spite of biologic capacity.⁵⁰ The increased motion causes excess bone

development, resembling an ‘elephant foot’ or ‘horse hoof’ on radiograph (figure 2). Hypertrophic non-unions are treated with revision surgical intervention to increase construct stiffness. Atrophic non-unions, on the other hand, have an insufficient biologic environment to heal. This may be compounded by inadequate stabilization. A persistent gap at the fracture site on X-ray, without callus formation, will be seen on radiograph. Effective treatment of atrophic non-unions adds a biologic stimulus for healing, such as bone grafting. Oligotrophic non-unions have a combination of stability and biologic insufficiencies. These occur when the fracture has inadequate fixation and possible compromise of biologic healing capacity. Secondary healing cannot occur because of these deficiencies. On radiograph, there will be minimal callus formation and a fracture gap.

ABSORBABLE PROSTHESES

Biodegradable orthopedic implants have been used for fracture fixation for decades.⁵¹ A variety of biomaterials are available but the most studied and clinically applicable absorbable prostheses are those made from various polymers of polylactic acid. Polylactic acid polymers are, depending on their formulation, sturdy enough for modest load bearing and are degraded in vivo by hydrolysis over several weeks to months.^{52,53} Biodegradable implants have the theoretic advantage as they absorb of gradually shifting the stabilization of the fracture from the plate to the callous, thus preventing ‘stress-shielding’ and promoting a stronger bony union.⁵⁴ Although absorbable plates are not currently commonly used in routine extremity fractures, they may have a role for bone regeneration scaffolding in complex fractures with bone loss.

ORTHOBIOLGICS

New technology is arising to augment the biology of fracture care and is referred to as orthobiologics.^{55,56} There are many different bone substitutes in which three properties are described. Osteoinductive strategies provide growth factors to encourage mesenchymal osteoprogenitor cells to differentiate into the osteoblastic lineages, which direct bone growth and repair. Osteoconductive grafts provide structural support and serve as a scaffold for bone growth. Osteogenesis refers to the direct transplant of osteoblasts and periosteal cells to the fracture site to produce bone. The gold standard for bone graft had until recently been autogenous iliac crest bone, which includes all three biologic properties.⁵⁷ However, harvesting iliac crest graft can result in chronic pain at the site of harvest and there is a limited supply.⁵⁷ Alternatives to an autograft are cadaveric bone, demineralized bone matrix and bone morphogenetic protein products. Cadaveric bone, however, only has osteoconductive properties. Demineralized bone matrix is commercially available as a putty, paste, sheets and pieces.⁵⁸ This material provides a degradable matrix of bone proteins, calcium, phosphates and trace cellular debris that has both osteoconductive and osteoinductive properties. It is often used as a supplement in bone repair and regenerative strategies, but the consistency of the product has been questioned. Recombinant bone morphogenetic protein (rBMP) is osteoinductive and has been shown to induce bone formation in a variety of clinical scenarios.⁵⁹ The addition of rBMP-2 to the fracture site of open tibial fractures is associated with significantly fewer hardware failures, faster healing and fewer infections compared with controls.⁶⁰

EXTREMITY COMPARTMENT SYNDROME

Extremity compartment syndrome occurs when elevated pressure within a muscle compartment causes decreased capillary



Figure 3 Multiple rib fracture non-unions several months after blunt chest wall trauma.

perfusion and compression of the venules.^{61 62} This ultimately leads to a decrease in arterial flow and if left untreated will result in muscle necrosis and nerve degeneration, with the possibility of rhabdomyolysis. Extremity compartment syndrome is often related to trauma. Compartment syndrome may occur with or without an accompanying fracture in a wide variety of clinical scenarios in any extremity. The duration and amount of pressure directly correlate with the extent of irreversible tissue loss and therefore a low threshold for suspicion and early operative intervention is paramount.

In the alert and responsive patient, the diagnosis can usually be made on physical exam alone, however in a patient with a decreased level of consciousness the syndrome can present silently.⁶³ Pain on passive stretch, pain out of proportion to exam and sensory changes are unreliable in obtunded patients. Aggressive screening for extremity compartment syndrome in severely injured patient in the ICU yielded an incidence of 20%.⁶³ Direct measurement of intracompartmental pressures with a handheld device such as the Stryker Intracompartmental Pressure Monitor System (Stryker, Kalamazoo, Michigan, USA) is simple and can be reliable if used appropriately.^{64 65} Ideally, the pressure is measured close to the fracture site.⁶⁶ Differential pressures (diastolic minus compartment pressure) <30 indicate serious consideration for operative fasciotomy.^{67 68} However, the use of an intracompartmental measuring device is controversial among orthopedic surgeons. This instrument has also been found to have a 30% catastrophic failure rate independent of the experience of the user.⁶⁹ Many orthopedic surgeons advocate that if there is a high clinical suspicion for compartment syndrome, a surgeon should not be reassured from low intracompartmental pressures and a prophylactic fasciotomy should be performed.

RIB FRACTURE FIXATION: AN INTERSECTION OF ACUTE CARE SURGERY AND ORTHOPAEDIC TRAUMATOLOGY

Rib fracture internal fixation has emerged as a standard of care option for selected patients with chest wall injury syndromes, including flail chest and flail chest equivalent injuries.⁷⁰ Orthopedic surgeons, general trauma surgeons and thoracic surgeons have all expressed an interest in providing this challenging procedure to their patients.^{71 72} Because orthopedic surgeons are the best prepared to manage the variety of fixation challenges that rib fractures present, acute care surgeons and thoracic surgeons would be wise to invite orthopedic surgeons to participate in the conduct of the surgery if they are unfamiliar with rib fixation. Patients with flail chest are not common at many centers; therefore, not all acute care surgeons will gain proficiency with this procedure. Thus, the number of different surgeons who perform this surgery at each center should be limited.⁷³ The principles that govern successful fracture fixation in extremity injuries likewise govern successful rib fracture fixation. In fact, rib fracture fixation presents special challenges since ribs have a notoriously thin cortex, come in a variety of thickness and contours and are subject to perpetual motion during breathing. The risk of non-union following rib fractures is similar to that in extremity fractures (5%) and may cause chronic pain (figure 3). Resection of a fibrous rib fracture non-union with or without internal fixation in select patients will relieve chronic pain and disability.^{74 75}

Contributors All authors contributed equally to the creation and revisions of the manuscript.

Competing interests JM accepts honoraria for speaking and consulting fees from Acute Innovations, Hillsboro, Oregon, USA. Other authors have no competing interests to declare.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Open Access This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

© Article author(s) (or their employer(s) unless otherwise stated in the text of the article) 2018. All rights reserved. No commercial use is permitted unless otherwise expressly granted.

REFERENCES

- 1 Britt LD. Acute care surgery: the curriculum should address the needs. *J Surg Educ* 2007;64:300–1.
- 2 Vrahas MS. Acute care surgery from the orthopedic surgeon's perspective: a lost opportunity. *Surgery* 2007;141:317–20.
- 3 Uranus S, Lamont E. Acute care surgery: the European model. *World J Surg* 2008;32:1605–12.
- 4 Rho JY, Kuhn-Spearing L, Zioupos P. Mechanical properties and the hierarchical structure of bone. *Med Eng Phys* 1998;20:92–102.
- 5 Young MF. Bone matrix proteins: their function, regulation, and relationship to osteoporosis. *Osteoporos Int* 2003;14 Suppl 3:35–42.
- 6 Claes L. Biomechanical principles and mechanobiologic aspects of flexible and locked plating. *J Orthop Trauma* 2011;25 Suppl 1:S4–S7.
- 7 Altun BU. Periosteum: resorption or formation area? *Turk J Endocrin Metab* 2008;12:28–31.
- 8 Tsukayama DT. Pathophysiology of posttraumatic osteomyelitis. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 1999;22–9.
- 9 Lin Z, Willers C, Xu J, Zheng MH. The chondrocyte: biology and clinical application. *Tissue Eng* 2006;12:1971–84.
- 10 Gaston MS, Simpson AH. Inhibition of fracture healing. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 2007;89:1553–60.
- 11 Borrelli J, Pape C, Hak D, Hsu J, Lin S, Giannoudis P, Lane J. Physiological challenges of bone repair. *J Orthop Trauma* 2012;26:708–11.
- 12 Johnson JP, Karam M, Schisel J, Agel J. An evaluation of the OTA-OFC system in clinical practice: a multi-center study with 90 days outcomes. *J Orthop Trauma* 2016;30:579–83.

- 13 Adams CI, Keating JF, Court-Brown CM. Cigarette smoking and open tibial fractures. *Injury* 2001;32:61–5.
- 14 Giannoudis PV, MacDonald DA, Matthews SJ, Smith RM, Furlong AJ, De Boer P. Nonunion of the femoral diaphysis. The influence of reaming and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 2000;82:655–8.
- 15 Richards JE, Kauffmann RM, Obremskey WT, May AK. Stress-induced hyperglycemia as a risk factor for surgical-site infection in nondiabetic orthopedic trauma patients admitted to the intensive care unit. *J Orthop Trauma* 2013;27:16–21.
- 16 Even JL, Richards JE, Crosby CG, Kregor PJ, Mitchell EJ, Jahangir AA, Tressler MA, Obremskey WT. Preoperative skeletal versus cutaneous traction for femoral shaft fractures treated within 24 hours. *J Orthop Trauma* 2012;26:e177–e182.
- 17 Fletcher N, Sofianos D, Berkes MB, Obremskey WT. Prevention of perioperative infection. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2007;89:1605–18.
- 18 Cooper ML, Laxer JA, Hansbrough JF. The cytotoxic effects of commonly used topical antimicrobial agents on human fibroblasts and keratinocytes. *J Trauma* 1991;31:775–84.
- 19 Thomas GW, Rael LT, Bar-Or R, Shimonkevitz R, Mains CW, Slone DS, Craun ML, Bar-Or D. Mechanisms of delayed wound healing by commonly used antiseptics. *J Trauma* 2009;66:82–91.
- 20 Bhandari M, Jeray KJ, Petrisor BA, Devereaux PJ, Heels-Ansdell D, Schemitsch EH, Della Rocca GJ, Jones C, Stone TB, Sun X. A trial of wound irrigation in the initial management of open fracture wounds. *N Engl J Med* 2015;373:2629–41.
- 21 Hoff WS, Bonadies JA, Cachecho R, Dorlac WC. East practice management guidelines work group: update to practice management guidelines for prophylactic antibiotic use in open fractures. *J Trauma* 2011;70:751–4.
- 22 Rodriguez L, Jung HS, Goulet JA, Cicalo A, Machado-Aranda DA, Napolitano LM. Evidence-based protocol for prophylactic antibiotics in open fractures: improved antibiotic stewardship with no increase in infection rates. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg* 2014;77:400–8.
- 23 Coupland RM. War wounds of bones and external fixation. *Injury* 1994;25:211–7.
- 24 Pape HC, Giannoudis P, Krettek C. The timing of fracture treatment in polytrauma patients: relevance of damage control orthopedic surgery. *Am J Surg* 2002;183:622–9.
- 25 Nahm NJ, Vallier HA. Timing of definitive treatment of femoral shaft fractures in patients with multiple injuries: a systematic review of randomized and nonrandomized trials. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg* 2012;73:1046–63.
- 26 Nahm NJ, Moore TA, Vallier HA. Use of two grading systems in determining risks associated with timing of fracture fixation. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg* 2014;77:268–79.
- 27 Crowl AC, Young JS, Kahler DM, Claridge JA, Chrzanoski DS, Pomphrey M. Occult hypoperfusion is associated with increased morbidity in patients undergoing early femur fracture fixation. *J Trauma* 2000;48:260–7.
- 28 Scalea TM, Boswell SA, Scott JD, Mitchell KA, Kramer ME, Pollak AN. External fixation as a bridge to intramedullary nailing for patients with multiple injuries and with femur fractures: damage control orthopedics. *J Trauma* 2000;48:613–23.
- 29 Tuttle MS, Smith WR, Williams AE, Agudelo JF, Hartshorn CJ, Moore EE, Morgan SJ. Safety and efficacy of damage control external fixation versus early definitive stabilization for femoral shaft fractures in the multiple-injured patient. *J Trauma* 2009;67:602–5.
- 30 Pape HC, Tornetta P, Tarkin I, Tzioupis C, Sabeson V, Olson SA. Timing of fracture fixation in multitrauma patients: the role of early total care and damage control surgery. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg* 2009;17:541–9.
- 31 Jaicks RR, Cohn SM, Moller BA. Early fracture fixation may be deleterious after head injury. *J Trauma* 1997;42:1–5.
- 32 Townsend RN, Lheureau T, Protech J, Riemer B, Simon D. Timing fracture repair in patients with severe brain injury (Glasgow Coma Scale score <9). *J Trauma* 1998;44:977–82. discussion 82-3.
- 33 Morshed S, Miclau T, Bembom O, Cohen M, Knudson MM, Colford JM. Delayed internal fixation of femoral shaft fracture reduces mortality among patients with multisystem trauma. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2009;91:3–13.
- 34 Vallier HA, Dolenc AJ, Moore TA. Early appropriate care: a protocol to standardize resuscitation assessment and to expedite fracture care reduces hospital stay and enhances revenue. *J Orthop Trauma* 2016;30:306–11.
- 35 Pape HC, Grimme K, Van Griensven M, Sott AH, Giannoudis P, Morley J, Roise O, Ellingsen E, Hildebrand F, Wiese B, Krettek C. Impact of intramedullary instrumentation versus damage control for femoral fractures on immunoinflammatory parameters: prospective randomized analysis by the EPOFF Study Group. *J Trauma* 2003;55:7–13.
- 36 Giannoudis PV, Tzioupis C, Pape HC. Fat embolism: the reaming controversy. *Injury* 2006;37 Suppl 4:S50–S58.
- 37 Pape HC, Giannoudis PV, Krettek C, Trentz O. Timing of fixation of major fractures in blunt polytrauma: role of conventional indicators in clinical decision making. *J Orthop Trauma* 2005;19:551–62.
- 38 Childs BR, Nahm NJ, Moore TA, Vallier HA. Multiple procedures in the initial surgical setting: when do the benefits outweigh the risks in patients with multiple system trauma? *J Orthop Trauma* 2016;30:420–5.
- 39 Starr AJ. Fracture repair: successful advances, persistent problems, and the psychological burden of trauma. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2008;90 Suppl 1:132–7.
- 40 Farouk O, Krettek C, Miclau T, Schandelmair P, Guy P, Tscherne H. Minimally invasive plate osteosynthesis: does percutaneous plating disrupt femoral blood supply less than the traditional technique? *J Orthop Trauma* 1999;13:401–6.
- 41 Leunig M, Hertel R, Siebenrock KA, Ballmer FT, Mast JW, Ganz R. The evolution of indirect reduction techniques for the treatment of fractures. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2000:7–14.
- 42 Cole PA, Zlowodzki M, Kregor PJ. Less Invasive Stabilization System (LISS) for fractures of the proximal tibia: indications, surgical technique and preliminary results of the UMC Clinical Trial. *Injury* 2003;34 Suppl 1:S16–S29.
- 43 Bloomstein L, Schenk R, Grob P. Percutaneous plating of periarticular tibial fractures: a reliable, reproducible technique for controlling plate passage and positioning. *J Orthop Trauma* 2008;22:566–71.
- 44 Mirza A, Moriarty AM, Probe RA, Ellis TJ. Percutaneous plating of the distal tibia and fibula: risk of injury to the saphenous and superficial peroneal nerves. *J Orthop Trauma* 2010;24:495–8.
- 45 Robinson CM, Court-Brown CM, McQueen MM, Wakefield AE. Estimating the risk of nonunion following nonoperative treatment of a clavicular fracture. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2004;86-A:1359–65.
- 46 Rodriguez-Merchan EC, Forriol F. Nonunion: general principles and experimental data. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2004:4–12.
- 47 An YH, Friedman RJ. Concise review of mechanisms of bacterial adhesion to biomaterial surfaces. *J Biomed Mater Res* 1998;43:338–48.
- 48 Widmer AF. New developments in diagnosis and treatment of infection in orthopedic implants. *Clin Infect Dis* 2001;33 Suppl 2:S94–S106.
- 49 Zalavras CG, Patzakis MJ, Holtom P. Local antibiotic therapy in the treatment of open fractures and osteomyelitis. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2004:86–93.
- 50 Kloen P, Doty SB, Gordon E, Rubel IF, Goumans MJ, Helfet DL. Expression and activation of the BMP-signaling components in human fracture nonunions. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2002;84-A:1909–18.
- 51 Park H, Temenoff JS, Mikos AG. Biodegradable Orthopedic Implants. In: Bonner F, Farach-Carson MC, Mikos AG, eds. *Engineering of Functional Skeletal Tissues*. London: Springer Verlag, 2007:55–68.
- 52 Li S, McCarthy S. Further investigations on the hydrolytic degradation of poly (DL-lactide). *Biomaterials* 1999;20:35–44.
- 53 Ambrose CG, Clanton TO. Bioabsorbable implants: review of clinical experience in orthopedic surgery. *Ann Biomed Eng* 2004;32:171–7.
- 54 Viljanen J, Pihlajamäki H, Kinnunen J, Bondestam S, Rokkanen P. Comparison of absorbable poly-L-lactide and metallic intramedullary rods in the fixation of femoral shaft osteotomies: an experimental study in rabbits. *J Orthop Sci* 2001;6:160–6.
- 55 Nauth A, McKee MD, Einhorn TA, Watson JT, Li R, Schemitsch EH. Managing bone defects. *J Orthop Trauma* 2011;25:462–6.
- 56 Yu NY, Schindeler A, Tagil M, Ruys AJ, Little DG. Use of BMPs and bisphosphonates in improving bone fracture healing. *Front Biosci* 2012;4:2647–53.
- 57 Calori GM, Colombo M, Mazza EL, Mazzola S, Malagoli E, Mineo GV. Incidence of donor site morbidity following harvesting from iliac crest or RIA graft. *Injury* 2014;45 Suppl 6:S116–S120.
- 58 Gruskin E, Doll BA, Futtell FW, Schmitz JP, Hollinger JO. Demineralized bone matrix in bone repair: history and use. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev* 2012;64:1063–77.
- 59 McKay WF, Peckham SM, Badura JM. A comprehensive clinical review of recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein-2 (INFUSE Bone Graft). *Int Orthop* 2007;31:729–34.
- 60 Govender S, Csimma C, Genant HK, Valentin-Opran A, Amit Y, Arbel R, Aro H, Atar D, Bishay M, Börner MG. Recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein-2 for treatment of open tibial fractures: a prospective, controlled, randomized study of four hundred and fifty patients. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2002;84-A:2123–34.
- 61 Tiwari A, Haq AI, Myint F, Hamilton G. Acute compartment syndromes. *Br J Surg* 2002;89:397–412.
- 62 Harvey EJ, Sanders DW, Shuler MS, Lawendy AR, Cole AL, Alqahtani SM, Schmidt AH. What's new in acute compartment syndrome? *J Orthop Trauma* 2012;26:699–702.
- 63 Kosir R, Moore FA, Selby JH, Cocanour CS, Kozar RA, Gonzalez EA, Todd SR. Acute lower extremity compartment syndrome (ALECS) screening protocol in critically ill trauma patients. *J Trauma* 2007;63:268–75.
- 64 Boody AR, Wongworawat MD. Accuracy in the measurement of compartment pressures: a comparison of three commonly used devices. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2005;87:2415–22.
- 65 Hammerberg EM, Whitesides TE, Seiler JG. The reliability of measurement of tissue pressure in compartment syndrome. *J Orthop Trauma* 2012;26:24–31. discussion 2.
- 66 Heckman MM, Whitesides TE, Grewe SR, Rooks MD. Compartment pressure in association with closed tibial fractures. The relationship between tissue pressure, compartment, and the distance from the site of the fracture. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 1994;76:1285–92.
- 67 McQueen MM, Court-Brown CM. Compartment monitoring in tibial fractures. The pressure threshold for decompression. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 1996;78:99–104.
- 68 Arató E, Kürthy M, Sinay L, Kasza G, Menyhei G, Masoud S, Bertalan A, Verzár Z, Kollár L, Roth E, Jancsó G. Pathology and diagnostic options of lower limb compartment syndrome. *Clin Hemorheol Microcirc* 2009;41:1–8.



- 69 Large TM, Agel J, Holtzman DJ, Benirschke SK, Krieg JC. Interobserver variability in the measurement of lower leg compartment pressures. *J Orthop Trauma* 2015;29:316–21.
- 70 Slobogean GP, MacPherson CA, Sun T, Pelletier ME, Hameed SM. Surgical fixation vs nonoperative management of flail chest: a meta-analysis. *J Am Coll Surg* 2013;216:302–11.
- 71 Mayberry JC, Ham LB, Schipper PH, Ellis TJ, Mullins RJ. Surveyed opinion of American trauma, orthopedic, and thoracic surgeons on rib and sternal fracture repair. *J Trauma* 2009;66:875–9.
- 72 Althausen PL, Shannon S, Watts C, Thomas K, Bain MA, Coll D, O'mara TJ, Bray TJ. Early surgical stabilization of flail chest with locked plate fixation. *J Orthop Trauma* 2011;25:641–7.
- 73 Mayberry J. Early stabilization of flail chest with locked plate fixation. *J Orthop Trauma* 2011;25:648.
- 74 Fabricant L, Ham B, Mullins R, Mayberry J. Prospective clinical trial of surgical intervention for painful rib fracture nonunion. *Am Surg* 2014;80:580–6.
- 75 Gauger EM, Hill BW, Lafferty PM, Cole PA. Outcomes after operative management of symptomatic rib nonunion. *J Orthop Trauma* 2015;29:283–9.